

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,194	0/626,194 . 07/24/2003		Craig William Fellenstein	AUS920030365US1 1807	
45371	7590	01/18/2008		EXAMINER	
IBM CORI		ON (RUS) aund Gordon & Rees,	LLp		
2100 Ross A			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 2800 DALLAS,	ΓΧ 7520	1			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AK

<i>y</i> T						
· - - ·-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
lotific	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/626,194	FELLENȘTEIN ET AL.			
	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	M. Fearer	2143			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
The Ap 41.37.	peal Brief filed on <u>17 December 2007</u> is defective	ve for failure to comply with one o	or more provisions of 37 CFR			
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	the mailing date of this Notificatio	ate correction (see MPEP n, whichever is longer.			
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.					
2. 🛛	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4. 🗌	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function unde 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))					
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).					
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).					
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$).					
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of	the above items):	,			

c(3) The brief fails to identify which claims are on appeal.

The entire brief is not required, only the section that was found defective...

DARLENE BROWN
PATENT APPEAL CENTER SPECIALIST

Dailee Brown